

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/657,647 09/08/2003		Dale Nelson	25648-456701	2838	
27717	7590 04/19/2005		EXAMINER		
SEYFARTH	I SHAW	· NGUYEN, CAMTU TRAN			
55 EAST MO SUITE 4200	NROE STREET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	IL 60603-5803	3743			
			DATE MAIL ED: 04/19/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

						771		
			plication No.		Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10)/657,647		NELSON ET AL.			
		Exa	aminer		Art Unit			
			mtu T. Nguyen		3743			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communor Reply	nication appears	on the cover sh	neet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress		
THE - Exte after - if the - if NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comr e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 period for reply is specified above, the maximum so the toreply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). nunication. 30) days, a reply within tatutory period will app y will, by statute, cause	In no event, however in the statutory minimu ply and will expire SIX e the application to be	, may a reply be tim m of thirty (30) days (6) MONTHS from come ABANDONE[ely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>03 March</i>	2005.					
·	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2,3 and 12 is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,4-11 and 13 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are Applicant may not request that any objected the same sheet and sheet (s) including the oath or declaration is objected the same sheet (s).	: a) ☐ accepted action to the drawing the correction is	ving(s) be held in s required if the d	abeyance. See rawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 Cl	` '		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notice 3) Infor	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (interest of the control of t		5) <u>Pa</u>	erview Summary per No(s)/Mail Da tice of Informal P ner:		O-152)		

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

This Office Action is in response to applicant's amendment filed on March 3, 2005.

Claims 1-6 have been amended. Claims 10-13 are newly added. Claims 2, 3 and 12 have been withdrawn from consideration since they are drawn to a different embodiment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 4, 5, and 11 are ejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chia et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,913,856). Chia et al discloses in Figure 1 a catheter system comprising a shaft (1) having a distal tip section (6), distal end (2), a steering mechanism (5). Figure 2 details the distal end section (6) comprising a flexible porous shaft (21), a band electrode, and a tip electrode (11). With regards to the porous tip, as recited, Chia et al discloses in Figure 3 another embodiment comprising a tip electrode (15) with a permeable surface (column 5 lines 47-56). Therefore it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the tip electrode (1) to be permeable as such would allow fluid communication from inside the shaft over the exterior surface of the electrode to provide a fluid protective layer surrounding the electrode to minimize temperature elevation to the electrode with biological tissues. Chia et al discloses the steering

Page 3

mechanism (5) at handle (4) comprises means for providing a plurality of deflectable curves on the distal tip section (6) of the catheter. With regards to claim 4, Chia et al does not disclose the steering mechanism having at least one pull wire but this type of steering mechanism is not new in art of catheter for maneuvering the catheter, in fact it is very common to use a pull wire to steer the catheter. Therefore it would have been obvious to recognize the pull wire is one of many ways to which an ordinary skill in the art would use to steer/articulate/manipulate the device.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chia et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,913,856) in view of Maguire et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,755,760). Chia et al, as presented above, discloses in Figures 1-3 a catheter system comprising elements recited in these claims but does not teach a guiding catheter, as recited. Maguire et al discloses in Figure 2 a deflectable guiding catheter (32) receiving an ablation catheter (42), the deflectable guiding catheter comprising a knob (36) by way of which deflection of the distal tip of the guide catheter (32) is accomplished by longitudinal displacement of an internal tension wire (column 4 lines 15-41). Therefore it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to employ the Chia et al's catheter system in conjunction with Maguire et al's deflection guide catheter as such would provide directional control of the catheter and/or facilitating placement of the ablation electrode(s) at a desired location.

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chia et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,913,856) in view of Maguire et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,913,854). Chia et al, as presented above, discloses in Figures 1-3 a catheter system comprising elements recited in these claims but does not teach the electrode comprises a tubular array of conductive metal strands, as Art Unit: 3743

recited. Maguire et al teaches the linear ablation electrode is typically a series of circular band electrodes, one or more spiral electrodes or one or more braided electrodes, of which one or more braided electrodes inherently contains by a tubular array of conductive strands. Therefore one skilled in the art would have utilized the Maguire et al's arrangement for electrodes as such would provide a stronger conductive field.

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chia et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,913,856) in view of Brucker et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,643,197). Chia et al, as presented above, discloses in Figures 1-3 a catheter system comprising elements recited in these claims but does not teach the electrode comprises a tubular array of conductive metal strands, as recited. Brucker et al discloses in Figures 1-16 an ablation catheter comprising a catheter (20), ring electrodes, and a porous tip (26). Figure 16 illustrates elongated electrodes (90) and these electrodes preferably constructed from a porous or microporous mesh (91) woven from small diameter metallic threads (column 8 lines 8-15). Therefore it would have been obvious to one or ordinary skill in the art during the time of the invention to use Brucker et al's electrodes as such would deeper linear lesions along body tissues.

Claims 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chia et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,913,856) in view of Brucker et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,643,197) and further in view of Maguire et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,755,760). Chia et al discloses in Figure 1 a catheter system comprising a shaft (1) having a distal tip section (6), distal end (2), a steering mechanism (5). Figure 2 details the distal end section (6) comprising a flexible porous shaft (21), a band electrode, and a tip electrode (11). With regards to the porous tip, as recited, Chia et al discloses in Figure 3 another embodiment comprising a tip electrode (15) with a permeable surface

Art Unit: 3743

(column 5 lines 47-56). The Chia et al does not teach (a) the band electrode comprising a tubular array of conductive metal strands and (b) a guiding catheter. Brucker et al discloses in Figures 1-16 an ablation catheter comprising a catheter (20), ring electrodes, and a porous tip (26). Figure 16 illustrates elongated electrodes (90) and these electrodes preferably constructed from a porous or microporous mesh (91) woven from small diameter metallic threads (column 8 lines 8-15). Therefore it would have been obvious to one or ordinary skill in the art during the time of the invention to use Brucker et al's electrodes as such would deeper linear lesions along body tissues. Maguire et al discloses in Figure 2 a deflectable guiding catheter (32) receiving an ablation catheter (42), the deflectable guiding catheter comprising a knob (36) by way of which deflection of the distal tip of the guide catheter (32) is accomplished by longitudinal displacement of an internal tension wire (column 4 lines 15-41). Therefore it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to employ the Chia et al's catheter system in conjunction with Maguire et al's deflection guide catheter as such would provide directional control of the catheter and/or facilitating placement of the ablation electrode(s) at a desired location.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Camtu T. Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-0537. The examiner can normally be reached on (M-F) 8:30-5:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/657,647 Page 6

Art Unit: 3743

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry A. Bennett can be reached on 703-308-0101. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Camtu Nguyen April 4, 2005

Henry Bennett
Supervisory Patent Examiner